

Programming in Lua – User-defined types

Fabio Mascarenhas

http://www.dcc.ufrj.br/~fabiom/lua



Exposing data

- We have seen how to expose C functions to Lua
- But C libraries do not have just functions, they usually also define complex data structures, and their functions operate on these data structures
- We need a way to pass these data structures to Lua code, and get them back
- One possible way would be to marshall these data structures to Lua data structures, such as strings or tables, but doing this marshalling and unmarshalling anytime we call C and come back would be expensive!
- Fortunately, C functions can pass opaque pointers and binary blobs to Lua and get them back with no marshalling/unmarshalling, with userdata



Bit vectors

• Suppose we have a library for *bit vectors* for representing boolean arrays efficiently, this library has the following interface (bv.h):

```
typedef struct BitVector BitVector;
int bv_bytes (int n); /* size needed for a bitvector of n elements */
void bv_set (BitVector *bv, int i, int b);
void bv_clear (BitVector *bv);
int bv_get (BitVector *bv, int i);
/* sets and gets length of bit vector, so users can do bounds checking */
void bv_setn (BitVector *bv, int n);
int bv_getn (BitVector *bv);
```

 The library does not do <u>bounds-checking</u>, this is the responsibility of the caller; we want to expose the following interface to Lua code:

```
v = bv.new(n) -- create bit vector of n elements
bv.set(v, i, b) -- set ith element to truth val of b
b = bv.get(v, i) -- get boolean val of ith element
n = bv.len(v) -- get length of bit vector
```

• The Lua API will be bounds-checked, and throw errors for out-of-bound access



Userdata

- Function bv.new must allocate a new bit vector, and return it to Lua after setting the length so the other functions can do bounds-checking, and clearing the vector
- <u>lua_newuserdata</u> allocates a block of memory, pushes an *userdata* for this block, and returns the address of the block:

```
static int newbv(lua_State *L) {
    int i; BitVector *bv;
    int n = luaL_checkinteger(L, 1);
    luaL_argcheck(L, n >= 1, 1, "size must be positive");
    bv = (BitVector*)lua_newuserdata(L, bv_bytes(n));
    bv_setn(bv, n);
    bv_clear(bv);
    return 1;
}
```

• We just need to register this function as new in our by module



Back from Lua

 The other functions in our by library take the bit vector userdata as the first argument; we can use the lua_touserdata function to take them out of the stack:

```
static int setbv(lua_State *L) {
   BitVector *bv = (BitVector*)lua_touserdata(L, 1);
   int i = luaL_checkinteger(L, 2) - 1;
   int n = bv_getn(bv);
   luaL_argcheck(L, 0 <= i && i < n, 2, "index out of range");
   luaL_checkany(L, 3);
   bv_set(bv, i, lua_toboolean(L, 3));
   return 0;
}</pre>
```

 Again we use luaL_argcheck, now to do bounds checking; lua_toboolean works with any Lua value, so we just need to check for the presence of a third argument with luaL_checkany



Safety

- The memory we allocate for userdata is managed by Lua, so we do not need to free it; Lua's garbage collector takes care of it
- But our implementation is unsafe in another way: there is no checking to see if the user has actually passed a valid bit vector as a first argument
- If the user does not pass an userdata lua_touserdata returns NULL, and we could check for that
- But other libraries produce their own userdata, and our code will happily corrupt them
- We need a way to *tag* an userdata so our module can check to see if it is a bit vector or not



Metatables

- Userdata can have metatables, too, so we will tag our bit vector userdata with a shared metatable that we will keep in the registry
- The Lua API has three convenience functions to access these metatables:



- Lua uses name as the registry key for the metatable, so prefix it with the module name
- Function lua_setmetatable(L, i) pops a table from the stack and sets it as the metatable of the value at index i (a table or userdata)



Safe bit vectors

• To tag our bit vectors, we need to create the metatable when loading the module:

```
int luaopen_bv(lua_State *L) {
    luaL_newmetatable(L, "bv.mt");
    luaL_newlib(L, bv);
    return 1;
}
```

 When we create the userdata for a new bit vector, we need to tag it, changing the end of newbv to:

```
luaL_getmetatable(L, "bv.mt");
lua_setmetatable(L, -2);
return 1;
```

 Finally, we change the other functions to use luaL_checkudata instead of lua_touserdata:

```
BitVector *bv = (BitVector*)luaL_checkudata(L, 1, "bv.mt");
```



Userdata objects

- Now that we have a metatable, we can add metamethods to it; we can decide what kind of interface we want: v:get(i), v:set(i, b), and v:len(), or v[i], v[i] = b, and #v
- For the first one, we can point index to the metatable itself, as we did for classes, and then add the get, set, and len functions to the metatable: static const struct luaL Reg bv m[] = { {"set", setbv}, {"get", getbv}, {"len", lenbv}, {NULL, NULL} }; int luaopen bv(lua State *L) { luaL newmetatable(L, "bv.mt"); lua pushvalue(L, -1); lua setfield(L, -2, " index"); luaL setfuncs(L, bt m, 0); v:get(5) -> v.get(v, 5) -> luaL newlib(L, bv); getmetatable(v). index.get(v, 5) -> return 1; bv.get(v, 5)}
- We do not need to change the setbv, getbv, or lenbv functions, as they already take the userdata as the first parameter



Userdata objects (2)

 For the second interface, we can just set the __index metamethod to getbv, __newindex to setbv, and __len to lenbv:

```
v[5] -> getmetatable(v).__index(v, 5) -> bv.get(v, 5)
v[5] = true -> getmetatable(v).__newindex(v, 5, true) -> bv.set(v, 5, true)
#v -> getmetatable(v).__len(v) -> bv.len(v)
```

• Using luaL_setfuncs to initialize the metatable is straightforward:

```
static const struct luaL_Reg bv_m[] = {
    {"__newindex", setbv}, {"__index", getbv}, {"__len", lenbv}, {NULL, NULL}
};
int luaopen_bv(lua_State *L) {
    luaL_newmetatable(L, "bv.mt");
    luaL_setfuncs(L, bt_m, 0);
    luaL_newlib(L, bv);
    return 1;
}
```



External resources

 Suppose the bit vector library manages its own memory, exposing the following interface:

typedef struct BitVector BitVector; BitVector *bv_new (int n); void bv_free(BitVector *bv); void bv_set (BitVector *bv, int i, int b); void bv_clear (BitVector *bv); int bv_get (BitVector *bv, int i); int bv_getn(BitVector *bv);

- Now bv_new allocates a cleared bit vector, and sets is length; when the user is done with the bit vector he should call bv_free to reclaim its space
- How do we expose these bit vectors to Lua?



Indirect userdata

• The userdata for bit vectors can be *pointers* to the bit vectors, instead of the bit vectors themselves:

```
static int newbv(lua_State *L) {
    int i; BitVector **ud;
    int n = luaL_checkinteger(L, 1);
    luaL_argcheck(L, n >= 1, 1, "size must be positive");
    ud = (BitVector**)lua_newuserdata(L, sizeof(BitVector*));
    *ud = bv_new(n);
    luaL_getmetatable(L, "bv.mt");
    lua_setmetatable(L, -2);
    return 1;
}
```

• The other functions need to deal with the extra level of indirection:

```
BitVector *bv = *((BitVector**)luaL_checkudata(L, 1, "bv.mt"));
```



Finalizers

- There is still a problem: when should we call bv_free? The memory for storing the pointer is managed by Lua, but the bit vector itself is not
- To solve this, Lua has *finalizers*: a finalizer is a __gc metamethod in a userdata (in Lua 5.2 tables can have finalizers, too)
- Lua calls this metamethod just before garbage-collecting the userdata, passing the userdata to it; we just need to connect the __gc metamethod to a function that will call bv_free:

```
static int gcbv(lua_State *L) {
    BitVector *bv = *((BitVector**)luaL_checkudata(L, 1, "bv.mt"));
    bv_free(bv);
}
```



Quiz

 Suppose the bit vector library we are exposing to Lua did not have the bv_setn and bv_getn functions; how could we implement bounds checking in our C module?

SEE DUQUIZ FOR ANSWER!